

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JONATHAN BROWNING, INC.,

Case No. C 07-3983 JSW

Plaintiff,

v.

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS**

VENETIAN CASINO RESORT, LLC, et al.,

Defendant.

_____ /
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)

✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

The parties agree to private mediation before JAMS or another private mediation service agreeable to the parties, although they believe that, given the early stage of this litigation, any such mediation should take place at the appropriate time.

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)

✓ other requested deadline TBD

Dated: November 8, 2007

/s/ Thomas S. Hixson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: November 8, 2007

/s/ Michelle A. Hon

Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER


Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- Non-binding Arbitration
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- ✓ Mediation
- Private ADR

- Deadline for ADR session
- 90 days from the date of this order.
- ✓ other TBD.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 13, 2007



Hon. Jeffrey S. White
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE